1	WILLIAM McGRANE [057761] MAUREEN HARRINGTON [194606]			
2	McGRANE, GREENFIELD, HANNON & HARRINGTON LLP			
3	One Ferry Building, Suite 220			
4	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 283-1776			
5	Facsimile: (415) 283-1777			
6	BERNARD S. GREENFIELD [66017] McGRANE, GREENFIELD, HANNON			
7	& HARRINGTON LLP 40 South Market Street, Second Floor			
8	San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (408) 995-5600			
9	Facsimile: (408) 995-0308			
10	Attorneys for North San Jose Interests			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
14				
15	NORTH SAN JOSE INTERESTS, a California limited partnership	Case No. C-05-04594 PVT		
16		STIPULATION		
17	Plaintiff,	(1) ENLARGING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT (Local Rule 6-1(a)),		
18	V.	(2) CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE (Local		
19	SR TELECOM USA, INC., a Delaware	Rules 6-2(a) and 16-2(d)), AND		
	corporation; SR TELECOM, INC., a Canadian corporation,	(3) SEEKING RELIEF FROM CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE (Local		
20	•	Rule 16-2(d), and		
21 22	Defendants.	ORDER THEREON		
23	RECITALS AND STIPULATION			
24 25	WHEREAS, on or about November 7, 2005, Plaintiff NORTH SAN JOSE			
25 26	INTERESTS ("NSJI") initiated the above-captioned action against SR TELECOM			
26	USA, INC. ("SRUSA") and SR TELECOM, INC. ("SRCAN").			

1	WHEREAS, on November 14, 2005, NSJI served SRUSA with the		
2	Summons and Complaint;		
3	WHEREAS, on November 18, 2005, NSJI filed an involuntary bankruptcy		
4	petition against SRUSA for relief under Chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy		
5	Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware.		
6	WHEREAS, on or about December 9, 2005, SRCAN agreed to service of		
7	process, and SRCAN and NSJI stipulated to extend the time, up to an including		
8	January 31, 2006, for SRCAN to file a responsive pleading in this action.		
9	WHEREAS NSJI, SRUSA, and SRCAN have entered into a settlement		
10	agreement ("Agreement"), the terms and conditions of which will not be fully		
11	performed until April 30, 2006. Moreover, and unless the parties extend such		
12	deadline, the Agreement is null and void if certain terms and conditions of the		
13	Agreement are not performed by such date.		
14	WHEREAS, on November 8, 2005, this Court entered an Order Setting		
15	Initial Case Management Conference, setting the initial Case Management		
16	Conference for March 14, 2006 at 2:00 p.m. and scheduling the following		
17	deadlines:		
18	2/21/06	Last day to meet and confer re initial disclosures, early	
19		settlement, ADR process selection, and discovery plan; last day to file Joint ADR Certification with Stipulation to ADR	
20		process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference.	
21	3/7/06	Last day to complete initial disclosures or state objection in	
22		Rule 26(f) Report, file/serve Case Management Statement, and file/serve Rule 26(f) Report.	
23			
24	THEREFORE, NSJI, SRCAN, and SRUSA, by and through their		
25	respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate to the following:		
26			

1	A. SRCAN shall have to and including April 30, 2006 to file its responsive		
2	pleading.		
3	B. The Case Management Conference is continued from March 14, 2006 t		
4	June 5, 2006 at 2:00 p.m.		
5	C. The last day to meet and confer regarding initial disclosures, early		
6	settlement, ADR process selection, and discovery plan, and the last day to file a		
7	Joint ADR Certification with Stipulation to ADR process or Notice of Need for		
8	ADR Phone Conference shall be May 9, 2006.		
9	D. The last day to complete initial disclosures or state objection in Rule		
10	26(f) Report, and file and serve Case Management Statement and Rule 26(f)		
11	Report shall be May 30, 2006.		
12	E. SRCAN reserves all rights to contest jurisdiction, and does not waive		
13	these rights be entering into this Stipulation.		
14	Dated: January 19, 2006 McGrane Greenfield LLP		
15	By /S/ Bernard S. Greenfield		
16	Bernard S. Greenfield, attorneys for Plaintiff North San Jose Interests		
17			
18	Dated: January 19, 2006 MBV Law LLP		
19	By <u>/S/ Dwight C. Donovan</u> Dwight C. Donovan, Specially		
20	Appearing for Defendant SR Telecom	.,	
21	Inc. and SR Telecom USA, Inc.		
22	<u>ORDER</u>		
23	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
24	Dated: 1/23/06 Satricia V. Junleul	<i>(</i> /	
25	The Honorable PATRICIA V. TRUMBU U.S. Chief Magistrate Judge	The Honorable PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL U.S. Chief Magistrate Judge	
26			